## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA AIKEN DIVISION

Kairm Hudani,

C/A No. 1:24-cv-0436-SAL

Plaintiff,

v.

Cathy White, SCDC Medical Department Head; Sheriff Chuck Wright; Major Leso, Head of Security; Head of Maintenance,

Defendants.

**ORDER** 

Plaintiff Kairm Hudani, a pro se litigant, filed this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against the named defendants for denial of adequate medical care at the Spartanburg County Jail. This matter is before the court on the Report and Recommendation (the "Report") issued by United States Magistrate Judge Shiva V. Hodges, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.), recommending summary dismissal of this case. [ECF No. 13.] Attached to the Report was a notice advising Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if they failed to do so. *Id.* at 7. Plaintiff has not filed objections, and the time for doing so has expired.

The magistrate judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of only those portions of the Report that have been specifically objected to, and the court may accept, reject, or modify the Report, in whole or in part. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In the absence of objections, the court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the

Report and must "only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

After reviewing the Report, the applicable law, and the record of this case in accordance with the above standard, the court finds no clear error, adopts the Report, ECF No. 13, and incorporates it by reference herein. As a result, this matter is **SUMMARILY DISMISSED** without prejudice and without issuance of service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

April 8, 2024 Columbia, South Carolina Sherri A. Lydon
United States District Judge